



Financial Regime-Switching Vector
Auto-Regression
Amendment

Application Number 10/805,314

Mark S. Tenney

Filed 22 March 2004

TC/A.U. 4172

Examiner William E. Rankins

Docket Number MST032204

Wednesday 6th January, 2010

Copyright ©2008-2009 All rights reserved Mark S. Tenney.

Citizen of the United States

4313 Lawrence Street, Alexandria Virginia, 22309.

Phone number 703 799 0518.

Email: mfc@patriot.net

Contents

1	Intro	9
2	Amendments to the Specification	11
2.1	Underlining review	11
2.2	Remove sentence	12
2 – 1	new definition	12
2.3	Additions to Specification	13
3 – 1	Steps in Regime Switching Economic Scenario Generation	13
3 – 1.1	Regime Switching VAR Time Node Step	13
3 – 1.2	Regime Switching Time Node Loop	16
3 – 1.3	Scenario	16

3 – 1.4	Scenario Initialization	17
3 – 1.5	Scenario Loop	17
3 – 1.6	Regime Switching Scenario	17
3 – 1.7	Regime Switching Grids	18
3 – 1.8	One space variable one regime index example	19
3 – 1.9	Two space variable one regime index example	20
3	Claims	23
4	Examiner Initial Comments	33
4.1	Information Disclosure Statement	33
1 – 1	Applicant Response	33
4.2	Status of Claims	34
5	Examiner Response to Arguments.	35
0 – 1	Applicant's arguments, see pgs 29-34 Objection With-	
	drawn	35

0 – 2	Applicant’s arguments, see pgs 37-38 Objection With-	
	drawn	35
0 – 3	Applicant’s arguments, see pg 40 Objection Withdrawn	36
0 – 4	Applicant’s arguments, see pgs 40-43 Objection With-	
	drawn	36
0 – 5	Applicant’s arguments, see pg 44 Objection Withdrawn	36
0 – 6	Applicant’s arguments, see pg 45 Objection Withdrawn	37
0 – 7	Applicant’s arguments 101 Rejection 1-9 Not Persua-	
	sive in re Bilski	37
0 – 7.1	Applicant’s response i4i patent	39
0 – 7.2	Applicant’s response ESG file size 78 megabytes	42
0 – 7.3	Extent to which computer used is specified in	
	specification in accordance with MPEP 2111.01	
	IV. APPLICANT MAY BE OWN LEXICOG-	
	RAPHER	42
0 – 7.4	Practical utility of scenarios	46

0 – 7.5	Actuarial Work	47
0 – 7.6	Note on Actuarial Work	48
0 – 8	Applicant’s arguments, see pgs 48-49 Objection With- drawn	52
0 – 9	Applicant’s arguments, see pgs 51-52 Objection With- drawn	53
0 – 10	Rejections Below Comment	53
6	Claim Objections	55
6.1	Claims 1,8, and 18 Informalities	55
1 – 1	Applicant response	55
6.2	Claims 3,5, and 9 Informalities	56
2 – 1	Applicant response	56
6.3	Claims 2 Indefinite	56
3 – 1	Applicant response	57
6.4	Claims 1-9 17-24 Indefinite	57
4 – 1	Applicant response	58

<i>CONTENTS</i>	7
6.5 Note on obtaining representation	58
5 – 1 Applicant response	58
7 Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. Section 101	63
7.1 Claims 18-21 non statutory rejected	63
1 – 1 Applicant response	64
8 Conclusion.	65
8.1 Action made final	65
1 – 1 Response to Made Final	66
1 – 1.1 MPEP 706 Rejection of Claims [R-5]	66
1 – 1.2 MPEP 706.07 Final Rejection [R-3]	68
1 – 1.3 MPEP706.07(a) Final Rejection, When Proper on Second Action [R-6]	69
1 – 1.4 MPEP 707.07(g) Piecemeal Examination	70
1 – 1.5 2111.01 Plain Meaning [R-5] IV. i APPLI- CANT MAY BE OWN LEXICOGRAPHER	71

1 – 1.6	Extensive Revisions to comply with examiner	
	comments	72
8.2	Pairs	73
2 – 1	Response	74
9	Closing	75
	Bibliography	75

Chapter 1

Intro

Sir: In response to the Office action of July 7, 2009, please accept this request for continuing examination and amend the above-identified application as follows:

Amendments to the Specification begin in chapter of that title of this paper.

Amendments to the claims begin in chapter of that title of this paper.

Responses to the examiner's comments follow.

Applicant appreciates the extensive comments of examiner and has canceled the old claims and entered new ones in accordance with examiner's suggestions. In addition, as requested by the examiner in the interview in

2009, applicant has amended the specification to better clarify for the examiner and others the methods described therein. No new matter has been introduced.